ABSTRACT

In this paper we study an interaction between pricing/revenue management and premium-status loyalty program (e.g., a ``Gold" status with an airline or hotel), and the role of strategic consumers in this interaction. Specifically, we consider a recent change where several major airlines switch their ``frequent-flyer" loyalty programs from ``mileage-based" programs (under which consumers obtain the premium status by flying a certain number of miles) toward ``spending-based" programs (under which consumers obtain the premium status by spending a certain number of dollars). This change has been met with a fierce opposition from media and consumers.

We present a novel model for forward-looking, status-seeking, strategic consumers' decisions on how much to purchase/fly over a certain time-period. We consider consumer heterogeneity along two fundamental dimensions, utility of time and money, and endogenously derive the consumer demand as a function of the firm's prices, loyalty program design, and premium status qualification requirements. We then incorporate such strategic consumers' demand into the firm's pricing and loyalty program design problem, compare the solutions under the two designs (mileage-based versus spending-based), and discuss managerial implications.

We demonstrate a number of interesting findings. First, we confirm that the so-called ``mileage-runs" (flying more to maintain the premium status in the mileage-based program design), which are often observed in practice, are indeed utility maximizing strategic behaviors. Similarly, under the spending-based program design, some consumers may choose to ``spend-up" (strategically pay more for the same product or service in order to qualify for the premium status). Second, in contrast to most of the existing research, we demonstrate that when the firm coordinates its pricing and loyalty program, it can, in fact, benefit from strategic consumer behavior, i.e., its profit is higher when consumers are strategic than when they are myopic. Further, the benefit from strategic consumer behavior is even larger under the spending-based programs, which substantiates the change observed in the industry: the profit under the spending-based program (with the corresponding optimal prices and status qualification threshold) is larger than under the mileage-based program. This additional profit, however, comes not only from the additional revenue, but also from a decrease in the costs of servicing premium-status consumers: such consumers spend more per flight but take fewer flights. Third, we also investigate the impact of the change on different types of consumers and characterize the consumers who benefit or suffer from being strategic and from the switch. Finally, and most surprisingly, we show that a switch to the spending-based program can lead to a win-win situation where the firm's profit will
increase yet no consumer will be worse-off. This is particularly interesting in light of the extremely negative public reactions to the change toward spending-based loyalty programs. However, implementing such a win-win solution may require the firm to deviate from optimum and sacrifice a small portion of its profit for the benefit of consumers.
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