

Discussion:

Driven by Fear? The Tail Risk Premium in the Crude
Oil Futures Market

by Reinhard Ellwanger

Emil Siriwardane

Harvard Business School

2016 Volatility Institute Conference

This Paper

High-level summary

- ▶ How much are investors willing to pay for insurance against increases in oil price volatility?
 - This determines the swap rate in a variance swap

This Paper

High-level summary

- ▶ How much are investors willing to pay for insurance against increases in oil price volatility?
 - This determines the swap rate in a variance swap
- ▶ Volatility can come from two sources:
 - Continuous price movements and jumps

This Paper

High-level summary

- ▶ How much are investors willing to pay for insurance against increases in oil price volatility?
 - This determines the swap rate in a variance swap
- ▶ Volatility can come from two sources:
 - Continuous price movements and jumps
- ▶ This paper: how much are investors willing to pay for insurance against increases in oil price volatility *that come from big jumps*?

This Paper

High-level summary

- ▶ How much are investors willing to pay for insurance against increases in oil price volatility?
 - This determines the swap rate in a variance swap
- ▶ Volatility can come from two sources:
 - Continuous price movements and jumps
- ▶ This paper: how much are investors willing to pay for insurance against increases in oil price volatility *that come from big jumps*?
- ▶ Main findings:
 - A lot and time varying
 - Not all vol is the same
 - Predicts oil returns

Big Picture Comments

1. Is Oil Special?

- We know there is a large variance risk premium (VRP) for the S&P 500
- Also know that a fair amount of this comes from tail movements
- Does oil just load up on the market volatility factor?

Big Picture Comments

1. Is Oil Special?

- We know there is a large variance risk premium (VRP) for the S&P 500
- Also know that a fair amount of this comes from tail movements
- Does oil just load up on the market volatility factor?

2. The link between expected oil future returns and tail-induced VRP

- What type of model would deliver these forecasting results?
- Do we learn anything about the term structure of uncertainty insurance?

Some Structure

- ▶ Futures dynamics:

$$\frac{dF_t}{F_{t-}} = \text{drift} + \sigma_t dW_t + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^x - 1) \tilde{\mu}(dt, dx)$$

Some Structure

- ▶ Futures dynamics:

$$\frac{dF_t}{F_{t-}} = \text{drift} + \sigma_t dW_t + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^x - 1) \tilde{\mu}(dt, dx)$$

- ▶ Quadratic variation:

$$QV(t, T) = \underbrace{\int_t^T \sigma_s^2 ds}_{\text{Continuous Variation}} + \underbrace{\int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 \mu(ds, dx)}_{\text{Jump-induced Variation}}$$

Some Structure

- ▶ Futures dynamics:

$$\frac{dF_t}{F_{t-}} = \text{drift} + \sigma_t dW_t + \int_{\mathbb{R}} (e^x - 1) \tilde{\mu}(dt, dx)$$

- ▶ Quadratic variation:

$$QV(t, T) = \underbrace{\int_t^T \sigma_s^2 ds}_{\text{Continuous Variation}} + \underbrace{\int_t^T \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^2 \mu(ds, dx)}_{\text{Jump-induced Variation}}$$

- ▶ Two types of risk:
 - Underlying risk in the futures contract
 - **The risk that risk will change**

Defining Jump-Induced Volatility Risk

- ▶ Variance risk premium:

$$\begin{aligned}VRP(t, T) &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[QV(t, T)] - \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[QV(t, T)] \\ &= \text{Premium for Bearing Continuous Vol Risk} + \\ &\quad \text{Premium for Bearing Jump-Induced Vol Risk}\end{aligned}$$

Defining Jump-Induced Volatility Risk

- ▶ Variance risk premium:

$$\begin{aligned}VRP(t, T) &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[QV(t, T)] - \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[QV(t, T)] \\ &= \text{Premium for Bearing Continuous Vol Risk} + \\ &\quad \text{Premium for Bearing Jump-Induced Vol Risk}\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Goal = isolate the **premium for bearing (large) jump-induced vol risk**

Defining Jump-Induced Volatility Risk

- ▶ Variance risk premium:

$$\begin{aligned}VRP(t, T) &= \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{P}}[QV(t, T)] - \mathbb{E}^{\mathbb{Q}}[QV(t, T)] \\ &= \text{Premium for Bearing Continuous Vol Risk} + \\ &\quad \text{Premium for Bearing Jump-Induced Vol Risk}\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Goal = isolate the **premium for bearing (large) jump-induced vol risk**
- ▶ Use the methodology from Bollerslev, Todorov, and Xu (2014) applied to oil futures and options:
 - Short dated options for the \mathbb{Q} -measure
 - High-frequency future prices for the \mathbb{P} -measure

The Price of Insurance and Forecasting

Data from Table 1 and Table 3

- ▶ On average, for \$100 of insurance, investors are willing to pay:
 - \$2.32 to hedge against volatility risk coming from left-tail events
 - \$0.79 to hedge against volatility risk coming from right-tail events

The Price of Insurance and Forecasting

Data from Table 1 and Table 3

- ▶ On average, for \$100 of insurance, investors are willing to pay:
 - \$2.32 to hedge against volatility risk coming from left-tail events
 - \$0.79 to hedge against volatility risk coming from right-tail events
- ▶ Not all vol is the same

The Price of Insurance and Forecasting

Data from Table 1 and Table 3

- ▶ On average, for \$100 of insurance, investors are willing to pay:
 - \$2.32 to hedge against volatility risk coming from left-tail events
 - \$0.79 to hedge against volatility risk coming from right-tail events
- ▶ Not all vol is the same
- ▶ Forecasting results:
 - When premium for right-tail induced volatility risk is high, subsequent spot/future returns are low
 - Opposite is true for left-tail induced vol risk

First Comment

What is special about oil?

- ▶ Oil could just be loading up on market-wide volatility risk

First Comment

What is special about oil?

- ▶ Oil could just be loading up on market-wide volatility risk
- ▶ Is estimated premium for oil just a byproduct of this exposure?

First Comment

What is special about oil?

- ▶ Oil could just be loading up on market-wide volatility risk
- ▶ Is estimated premium for oil just a byproduct of this exposure?
- ▶ One way to get at this:
 - The alpha in a time-series regression with standard factors + market-wide vol factor (Carr and Wu (2009))

First Comment

What is special about oil?

- ▶ Oil could just be loading up on market-wide volatility risk
- ▶ Is estimated premium for oil just a byproduct of this exposure?
- ▶ One way to get at this:
 - The alpha in a time-series regression with standard factors + market-wide vol factor (Carr and Wu (2009))
- ▶ If not, one reasonable alternative is that oil market is segmented:
 - Variation driven by capital of insurance providers
 - Who is on the other side of the swap?

Second Comment

Forecasting results are intriguing, but need more interpreting

- ▶ What model would predict the obtained signs in the forecasting regressions of oil returns?
 - VRP (from tails) \neq Futures risk premium

Second Comment

Forecasting results are intriguing, but need more interpreting

- ▶ What model would predict the obtained signs in the forecasting regressions of oil returns?
 - VRP (from tails) \neq Futures risk premium
- ▶ One natural idea:
 - Arbitrager capital dictates expected oil returns (Acharya, Lochstoer, and Ramadorai (2013))
 - Volatility insurance premium also reflects their capital

Second Comment

Forecasting results are intriguing, but need more interpreting

- ▶ What model would predict the obtained signs in the forecasting regressions of oil returns?
 - VRP (from tails) \neq Futures risk premium
- ▶ One natural idea:
 - Arbitrager capital dictates expected oil returns (Acharya, Lochstoer, and Ramadorai (2013))
 - Volatility insurance premium also reflects their capital
- ▶ Timing mismatch:
 - Jump-risk measures use short-dated options
 - Forecasting regressions go all the way up to 6 months
 - Results suggest a term-structure (Aït-Sahalia, Karaman, Mancini (2015))

Final Thoughts

- ▶ Interesting and topical market, fun to think about
- ▶ Forecasting results seem promising
- ▶ A lot of moving parts
- ▶ Would benefit with some more economic structure and interpretation

Thank You!

Other Issues

- ▶ In absolute value, the VRP should be bigger than the LJP + the RJP, right?
- ▶ Table 1 should have t -statistics, so we can make sure the means are reliably different than zero
- ▶ Should use Hodrick standard errors for forecasting regressions