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What is the USD Safety Premium?

Why do we Care?

How do we estimate it?

What are the Results?

Should we Believe the Results?
USD safety Premium is just the expected excess return on a short USD currency carry trade

$$SP_t = r^*_{f,t+1} + E_t[\Delta e_{t+1}] - r_{f,t+1} + \frac{1}{2} Var_t(\Delta e_{t+1}) = -Cov_t(m_{t+1}, \Delta e_{t+1}).$$

If on average this return is positive it must be so, according to asset pricing theory, because in ‘rare’ bad states of world when consumption is low (the stochastic discount factor is high) the dollar appreciates.
We care because the safety premium is a priced factor that can be reflected in many internationally traded assets.

\[
E_t[r_{t+1}^* - r_{t+1}] + \frac{1}{2} Var_t(r_{t+1}^* + \Delta e_{t+1}) - \frac{1}{2} Var_t(r_{t+1}) = \\
- Cov_t(m_{t+1}^*, r_{t+1}^*) + Cov_t(m_{t+1}, r_{t+1}) + Cov_t(r_{t+1}^*, \Delta e_{t+1}) - Cov_t(m_{t+1}, \Delta e_{t+1}).
\]

- **domestic risk**
- **exchange rate risk**
Paper estimates it using the Classic Campbell (1987) method in 3 stages assuming the return on world equity market is the stochastic part of the stochastic discount factor $m_{t,t+1}$

**Stage 0**

\[
\begin{align*}
    r_{t+1} &= \alpha_r Y_t^r + \epsilon_{t+1}^r; \\
    \Delta e_{t+1} &= \alpha_e Y_t^e + \epsilon_{t+1}^e.
\end{align*}
\]

**Stage 1**

\[
\text{Cov}(r_{t+1}, \Delta e_{t+1}) = \alpha_z Z_t + \xi_{t+1};
\]

**Stage 2**

\[
r_{f,t+1}^* + \Delta e_{t+1} - r_{f,t+1} + \frac{1}{2} Var(\Delta e_{t+1}) = d_0 + [d_1, \text{Cov}(r_{t+1}, \Delta e_{t+1}) + \omega_{t+1}, \ldots]
\]

Done jointly via GMM (Campbell and Clarida (1987) early application to fx). Model is exactly identified so can’t be tested. Interpret correlation between realized returns on short USD carry trade and the forecast able covariance between global equity returns and the value of the dollar.
Question: Paper reports that loadings on the instruments in first stage regression are significant, but how well do these projections fit?

Table 3: First Stage Regressions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Instruments</th>
<th>World F - Stat</th>
<th>World $\chi^2$ - Stat</th>
<th>World $\chi^2$ p - val</th>
<th>Developed F - Stat</th>
<th>Developed $\chi^2$ - Stat</th>
<th>Developed $\chi^2$ p - val</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>15.76</td>
<td>94.56</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>15.38</td>
<td>92.29</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ex dp ratio</td>
<td>14.03</td>
<td>70.16</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>13.18</td>
<td>65.88</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ex covariance</td>
<td>17.10</td>
<td>85.52</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>15.65</td>
<td>78.27</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ex volatilities</td>
<td>5.12</td>
<td>20.46</td>
<td>(0.0004)</td>
<td>4.11</td>
<td>16.42</td>
<td>(0.0025)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ex return &amp; exch. rate chg.</td>
<td>19.70</td>
<td>78.78</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>19.15</td>
<td>76.61</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cum int. diff.</td>
<td>14.12</td>
<td>98.85</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
<td>13.49</td>
<td>94.44</td>
<td>(0.0000)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Panel B: Details

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>World Coeff. $\times 10^4$</th>
<th>World $\chi^2$ - Stat</th>
<th>World $\chi^2$ p - val</th>
<th>Developed Coeff. $\times 10^4$</th>
<th>Developed $\chi^2$ - Stat</th>
<th>Developed $\chi^2$ p - val</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>int. diff.</td>
<td>-0.60</td>
<td>1.20</td>
<td>(0.2725)</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>(0.5021)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>[-1.10]</td>
<td>[0.67]</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In other words how predictable a month in advance is this covariance? Weak instruments?
Question: Paper reports that loading on the instrumented covariance is significant, but how well does this projection fit?

We know from Table 2 (and many other papers) carry trade returns over short horizons are very hard to forecasts based on interest differentials alone. So how about with the fitted covariance as a regressor?
Realization of the Stochastic Discount Factor

Figure 6: Ex Post Covariance $\widehat{Cov}(r_{t+1}, \Delta e_{t+1})$: World Index
So this is Nice and the key results: Bad times in Global stocks are indeed times when the dollar appreciates: and not just Lehman!
The USD Safety Premium

Figure 8 Multiplied by $d_1 = 12.067$ from Table 5
So Is There a Dollar Safety Premium?

YES

Is this the whole story of carry trade alpha?

AN IMPORTANT PART OF STORY BUT NOT ALL OF IT.

What is left out?

CARRY TRADES LOSE MONEY WHEN FORECASTABLE/IMPLIED VOL (OF FX OR EQUITY) IS HIGH, WHEN ‘LEVEL’ YIELD CURVE FACTOR SHIFTS DOWN, WHEN ‘SLOPE’ YIELD CURVE FACTOR STEEPENS. (Clarida, Davis, Pedersen (2009)). BUT THESE ARE LIKELY ALSO OFTEN TIMES WHEN THE FORECASTABLE COVARIANCE IS HIGH. WORTH DOING MORE WORK BEYOND JUST A SINGLE EQUITY FACTOR.
Relationship between USD Safety Premium and inflation indexed bond yield differentials (Clarida (2012;2013))

Define \( \exp \vartheta_{t,n} \) as the ratio of the expected real return on the foreign linker to the known return on the US TIP

\[
\exp \vartheta_{t,n} \equiv \frac{E_t RR_{hf}^{t,n}}{RR_{hh}^{t,n}} = \left( \frac{\exp nr^{*}_{t,n}}{\exp nr_{t,n}} \right) \frac{Q}{Q_t}
\]

Let \( Q \) denote the unconditional mean of the real exchange rate \( Q_t = E_t P^*_t / P_t \) and assume that \( E_t Q_{t+n} \approx Q \) for large \( n \).

\[
\sum_{i=0}^{n} \$SP_{t+i,1} \approx \vartheta_{t,n} + n(r_{t,n} - r^{*}_{t,n}) - \sum_{i=0}^{n} \left( er_{t+i,1} - er^{*}_{t+i,1} \right)
\]

Where \( er_{t,1} \) is the ex ante real interest rate on a nominal 1 period bond. There is a tight connection between the expected sum of current and future USD safety premiums and the relative risk premium on foreign versus US inflation indexed bonds (after adjusting for the level of the real exchange rate).
Nice Paper!
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